BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

IN RÉ:

Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc.

Docket No: TSCA-03-2011-0240

7310 Park Heights Avenue

Baltimore, MD 21208

CONSENT AGREEMENT

Respondent

CONSENT AGREEMENT

I. Preliminary Statement

This Consent Agreement ("CA"), issued under the authority set forth in sections [36] and 207 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615 and 2647, is entered into, through delegation, by the Director, Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III

("Complainant") and Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc. ("Respondent"). This CA includes the assessment of a civil penalty against Respondent because it is a local education agency Iiable for violations which occurred at Shoshana S. Cardin Jewish Community High School, located in Baltimore, Maryland (the "Facility"), pursuant to the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), Subchapter II (the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act or "AHERA") 15

U.S.C. §§ 2641 to 2656; and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits

("Consolidated Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R Part 22, with specific reference to the provisions set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) and (3).

This Consent Agreement and the accompanying Final Order (collectively referred to herein as the "CAFO") address violations by Respondent of AHERA and the federal regulations implementing AHERA as set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 763 Subpart E, and resolve Complainant's civil claims against Respondent arising from the violations alleged herein.

II. General Provisions

- 1. For the purpose of this proceeding only, Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth in this CAFO.
- 2. Except as provided in paragraph 1, above, Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations and conclusions of law set forth in this CAFO.
- Respondent agrees not to contest the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA")
 jurisdiction with respect to the execution of this CA, the issuance of the accompanying
 Final Order, or the enforcement of the CAFO.
- 4. For the purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent hereby expressly waives its right to a hearing on any issue of law or fact set forth in this CA and any right to appeal the accompanying Final Order.
- 5. Respondent shall not deduct for civil taxation purposes the civil penalty specified in this CAFO.
- Section 22.13(b) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice provides that where the parties agree to settlement of one or more causes of action before the filing of a complaint, a proceeding may be simultaneously commenced and concluded by the issuance of a consent agreement and final order.

- 7. By signing this CA, Respondent certifies to EPA that, upon investigation and to the best of its knowledge, the Facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act ("AHERA"), Subchapter II of TSCA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2641-2656, and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 8. Respondent consents to the issuance of this CAFO and agrees to comply with its terms.
- 9. Respondent shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.
- 10. Nothing in this CAFO shall relieve Respondent of its obligation to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
- 11. By signing and executing this CA, Respondent certifies that it has already spent at least nineteen thousand five hundred forty dollars (\$19,540.00) since the Maryland Department of the Environment's ("MDE") June 4, 2008 inspection for purposes of complying with Subchapter II of TSCA and the regulations promulgated thereunder, in accordance with § 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), and that Respondent has provided Complainant with all supporting cost documentation and information.
- This CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon the EPA, Respondent, and the officers, directors, successors, and assigns of Respondent.

III. EPA's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

Complainant has determined that Respondent has violated requirements of TSCA and the federal regulations implementing AHERA set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 763, Subpart E. In accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice as set forth at 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) and (3), Complainant alleges the following findings of fact and

conclusions of law.

- Respondent, Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc., is the "Local Education Agency" ("LEA") as that term is defined under Section 202(7) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(7) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83, because it is the owner of a nonpublic, non-profit elementary, or secondary school building, including the Facility, and as such, is responsible for ensuring that the Facility is in compliance with the requirements of AHERA.
- The Facility, Shoshana S. Cardin Jewish Community High School, located at 7310 Park Heights Avenue in Baltimore, Maryland, is a "school" as that term is defined at Section 202(12) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. 2642(12) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.
- The Facility is a "school building" as that term is defined at Section 202(13) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(13) and 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.

COUNT I

- 17. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 18. 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(a)(2) provides, with exceptions not relevant to these proceedings, that any building leased or acquired by local education agencies on or after October 12, 1988 to be used as a school building shall be inspected to identify all locations of friable and non-friable asbestos-containing building material ("ACBM").
- 19. On June 4, 2008, an authorized representative of the MDE conducted an inspection at the Facility pursuant to TSCA. During the inspection, the MDE inspector observed that the

asbestos management plan being used by Respondent was based on an initial inspection that had failed to inspect additional areas within the Facility that were later identified as or assumed to be ACBM.

20. Respondent's failure to conduct an initial inspection at the Facility identifying all locations of ACBM is a violation of 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(a)(2), and Section 207(a)(1) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(1).

COUNT II

- 21. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 20 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(b)(1) provides that at least once every 3 years after a management plan is in effect, each local education agency shall conduct a reinspection of all friable and nonfriable known or assumed ACBM in each school building that they lease, own, or otherwise use as a school building.
- During the June 4, 2008 inspection, the MDE inspector observed that the asbestos management plan being used by Respondent went into effect around August of 1988.

 Based on information collected and reviewed from the MDE inspection, Respondent had not conducted 3-year reinspections of the Facility since August of 2002.
- Respondent's failure to conduct 3-year reinspections at the Facility for ACBM is a violation of 40 C.F.R. § 763.85(b)(1), and Section 207(a)(1) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(1).

COUNT III

- 25. The allegations contained in Paragraph 1 through 24 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 26. 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(a)(3) provides if a local education agency begins to use a building as a school after October 12, 1988, the local education agency shall submit an asbestos management plan for the school to an Agency designated by the Governor prior to its use as a school.
- During the June 4, 2008 inspection, the MDE inspector observed that the asbestos management plan in use by Respondent for the Facility was originally prepared for a school that previously occupied the Facility's location. Respondent had failed to submit an asbestos management plan for the Facility to an Agency designated by the Governor prior to its use as a school, as required pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(a)(3).
- 28. By failing to submit an asbestos management plan for the Facility to an Agency designated by the Governor prior to its use as a school, Respondent violated the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(a)(3) and Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(3).

COUNTIV

- 29. The allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 28 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 30. 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(4) provides that at least once each school year, the LEA shall notify in writing parents, teachers, and employee organizations of the availability of the

- management plan for review.
- During the June 4, 2008 inspection, the MDE inspector observed that Respondent had failed to send annual notifications to parents, teachers, and employee organizations about the availability of the school's management plan as required pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(4).
- 32. By failing to send annual notifications to parents, teachers, and employee organizations about the availability of the school's management plan, Respondent violated the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(4) and Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(3).

IV. Settlement Recitation

- Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, EPA concludes that the Respondent is liable for a civil penalty pursuant to Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), for violations of TSCA. In full settlement of the violations alleged in this Consent Agreement, in consideration of each provision of this Consent Agreement and the accompanying Final Order, and pursuant to Sections 207(a) and (c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2647(a) and (c), and other relevant factors, Complainant and Respondent have determined that a civil penalty of six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) is appropriate.
- 34. The aforesaid assessed penalty is based upon EPA's consideration of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the statutory factors set forth in Section 207(c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(c), i.e., the significance of the violation, the culpability of

the violator, and the ability of the violator to continue to provide educational services to the community. These factors were applied to the particular facts and circumstances of this case with specific reference to EPA's Interim Final Enforcement Response Policy for the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act ("ERP"), dated January 31, 1989, adjusted for inflation pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 19.

- Respondent certifies that it has spent at least nineteen thousand five hundred forty dollars (\$19,540.00) since MDE's June 4, 2008 inspection to comply with Subchapter II of TSCA. Therefore, pursuant to sections 16(a)(2)(C) and 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §\$ 2615(a)(2)(C) and 2647(a), EPA agrees to the remittance of six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) of the civil penalty assessed against Respondent.
- Respondent consents to the assessment of a six thousand five hundred dollar penalty (\$6,500.00) with a cash component of zero (\$0.00) dollars.

V. Reservation of Rights

This CAFO resolves only the civil claims for the specific violations alleged in this CAFO. EPA reserves the right to commence action against any person, including Respondent, in response to any condition which EPA determines may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, public welfare, or the environment. In addition, this settlement is subject to all limitations on the scope of resolution and to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 22.18(c) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice.

Further, EPA reserves any rights and remedies available to it under Subchapter II of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2641 to 2656, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any other

federal laws or regulations for which EPA has jurisdiction, to enforce the provisions of this CAFO, following its filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

VI. Effective Date

The effective date of this CAFO is the date on which the Final Order is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice.

The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized by Respondent to execute this Consent Agreement and to legally bind Respondent to this Consent Agreement.

For Respondent:	
8/15/11 Date	Ken Davidson, Executive Director Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc
For Complainant:	
08/19/2011	Kyla L. Townsend-McIntyre, Enforcement Office.
Date	Kyla L. Townsend-McIntyre, Enforcement Office Pesticides and Asbestos Programs Branch
Accordingly I hereby	recommend that the Regional Administrator or his designee issue
the Final Order attached here	eto.
8/25/11	alm Fand
Date	Abraham Ferdas, Director

Land and Chemicals Division

Printed on 100% recycled/recyclable paper with 100% post-consumer fiber and process chlorine free.

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION III

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

IN RE:

Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc. :

Docket No: TSCA-03-2011-0240

7310 Park Heights Avenue

Baltimore, MD 21208

FINAL ORDER

Respondent

FINAL ORDER

The undersigned accepts and incorporates into this Final Order by reference all provisions set forth in the foregoing Consent Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Sections 16 and 207 of the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 2615 and 2647, and 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice, Respondent is assessed a civil penalty of six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) but that the cash component of that civil penalty will be zero (\$0.00) dollars. In accordance with Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), six thousand five hundred dollars (\$6,500.00) of the civil penalty assessed against the Respondent is hereby remitted. The effective date of this Final Order is the date that it is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

8/29/11 Date

Renêe Sarajian

Regional Judicial Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III

Printed on 100% recycled/recyclable paper with 100% post-consumer fiber and process chlorine free,

Customer Service Hotline: 1-800-438-2474

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region III 1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

IN RE:

Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc.

Docket No: TSCA-03-2011-0240

7310 Park Heights Avenue

CONSENT AGREEMENT

Baltimore, MD 21208

Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the original of the foregoing Consent Agreement and Final Order for the above-referenced matter were hand-delivered to the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA Region III, and that true and correct copies were mailed via certified mail to the following person(s):

Ken Davidson, Executive Director
Oheb Shalom Congregation of Baltimore City Inc.
7310 Park Heights Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21208

08/29/201 Date

Kyla L. Townsend-McIntyre

Enforcement Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III